

# **BASEL III PILLAR 3 DISCLOSURES**

At 30 June 2023



# **Table of Contents**

1. Executive Summary	3
2. Basel III Framework	4
2.1 Pillar 1	4
2.2 Pillar 2	5
2.3 Pillar 3	6
3. Organizational structure, Risk and Capital Management	6
3.1 Organization structure	
3.2 Risk and Capital Management	6
3.3 Risk Types	8
3.4 Risks in Pillar I	8
3.5 Risk in Pillar II	11
4. Regulatory capital requirements and the capital base	12
4.1 Capital base	
4.2 Regulatory capital requirements	13
5. Credit Risk-Pillar 3 disclosures	14
5.1 Definition of exposure classes per Standard Portfolio	14
5.2 Credit exposure and risk weighted assets	15
5.3 Exposure by external credit rating	16
5.4 Geographical distribution of exposures	17
5.5 Industry sector analysis of exposures	17
5.6 Maturity analysis of funded exposures	18
5.7 Maturity analysis of unfunded exposures	
5.8 Off- Balance sheet exposures	19
5.9 Collateral	19
5.10 Impairment of assets	
5.11 Restructured facilities	21
6. Market risk - Pillar 3 disclosures	21
7. Operational risk- Pillar 3 disclosures	21
8. Pillar 2 Risk Disclosures	21
0. Other disclosures:	24



#### 1. Executive Summary

This document provides the disclosures pertaining to risk and capital management for Alubaf Arab International Bank B.S.C. (c) (the "Bank") and its wholly owned subsidiary, Bahrain Real Estate Development Company (together the "Group") as of 30 June 2023. The purpose of the document is to enhance the financial transparency through better public disclosure (as required by Central Bank of Bahrain Public Disclosure Requirements ("PD") module) and facilitate the market discipline to align with Basel III accord.

Central Bank of Bahrain ("CBB"), the regulating body for Banks and Financial Institutions in the Kingdom of Bahrain has issued the directives relating to public disclosures. The disclosure requirements in PD module of CBB rulebook follow the requirements of Basel III Pillar 3 and are in addition to, or in some cases serve to clarify, the disclosure requirements of International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"). This document gathers all the elements of the disclosures required under Pillar III and complies with the public disclosure module of CBB (including companies' law), in order to enhance corporate governance and financial transparency. The Pillar 3 disclosures are to be read in conjunction with the interim condensed consolidated financial statements of the Group presented in accordance with IFRS as of 30 June 2023 as well as the capital disclosures and liquidity disclosures published separately on the Group's website.

For regulatory reporting purposes, the Group has adopted the standardized approach for credit and market risk and the basic indicator approach for operational risk to determine the capital requirements under Pillar 1. The Group's total risk-weighted assets as of 30 June 2023 amounted to US\$ 603 million (June 2022: US\$ 679 million), comprising 90.86% of credit risk, 0.02% of market risk and 9.12% of operational risk weighted assets. The total consolidated capital adequacy ratio stood at 55.67% (June 2022: 47.59%), compared to the minimum regulatory requirement of 12.50%.

Figures in \$ 000s	June 2023	June 2022
Tier 1 capital	331,154	318,389
Tier 2 capital	4,521	4,554
Total Capital	335,675	322,943
Credit risk weighted assets	547,855	620,750
Market risk weighted assets	150	63
Operational risk weighted assets	54,971	57,754
Total Risk Weighted assets	602,976	678,567
Tier 1 Capital Ratio	54.92%	46.92%
Total Capital Ratio	55.67%	47.59%

There are no restrictions on the transfer of funds or regulatory capital within the Group and there are no differences in the basis of consolidation for accounting and regulatory purposes for the subsidiary within the Group.



#### 2. Basel III Framework

The CBB's Basel III framework is based on three pillars, consistent with framework developed by the Basel Committee, as follows: -

- Pillar 1: the calculation of risk-weighted assets ("RWAs") and capital requirements for credit, market and operational risks.
- Pillar 2: the supervisory review process, including the Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process ("ICAAP").
- Pillar 3: the disclosure of risk management and capital adequacy information.

#### 2.1 Pillar 1

Pillar 1 prescribes the basis for the calculation of the regulatory capital adequacy ratio. Pillar 1 sets out the definition and calculations of the RWAs, and the derivation of the regulatory capital base. The capital adequacy ratio is calculated by dividing the regulatory capital base by the total RWAs. As at 30 June 2023, all Banks incorporated in the Kingdom of Bahrain are required to maintain a minimum capital adequacy ratio of 12.50 percent and a tier 1 ratio of 10.50 percent. In the event that the capital adequacy ratio falls below 12.50 percent, additional prudential reporting requirements apply and a formal action plan setting out the measures to be taken to restore the ratio above the target level is to be formulated and submitted to the CBB.

The table below summarizes the Group's approach for calculating RWAs and capital requirements for each risk type in accordance with the CBB's Basel 3 capital adequacy framework:

Approaches for determining regulatory capital requirements						
Credit risk Market risk Operational risk						
Standardized approach Standardized approach Basic indicator approach						

### a) Credit Risk

For regulatory reporting purposes, the Group applies the standardized approach for credit risk. The RWAs are determined by multiplying the credit exposure by a risk weight factor dependent on the type of counterparty and the counterparty's external rating, where available.

#### b) Market Risk

For the regulatory market risk capital requirement, the Group applies the standardized approach based on net open position of foreign currencies as per Capital Adequacy (the "CA") module of the CBB rule book.

#### c) Operational Risk

Under the CBB's Basel 3 capital adequacy framework, all banks incorporated in Bahrain are required to apply the basic indicator approach for operational risk with prior notification to CBB unless approval is granted by the CBB to use the standardized approach. Currently, the Group uses the Basic Indicator Approach for calculating its capital requirement for operational risk.



### 2.2 Pillar 2

Pillar 2 defines the process of supervisory review of an institution's risk and capital management framework and, ultimately, its capital adequacy. Under the Pillar 2 guidelines, each Bank is required to internally assess its capital requirements taking into consideration all material risks through the ICAAP assessment process and establish internal minimum capital limits.

Pillar 2 comprises of two processes: -

- An ICAAP review; and
- A supervisory review and evaluation process.

### **Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process:**

The Group has a capital management and planning framework which ensures adequate capital is available for any expected/unexpected loss and to support its strategic growth opportunities. The capital planning of the Group is carried out through ICAAP which covers inter-alia:

- Forecast of the strategic and business growth plan of the Group over the next 3 years
- Quantitative and qualitative assessment of various external and internal risk factors
- Assessment of capital adequacy under normal and stress scenarios
- Planning of capital action, if any, required to accomplish the strategic and financial objectives of the Group.

The Group has a comprehensive ICAAP that includes board and senior management oversight, monitoring, reporting and internal control reviews, to identify and measure the various risks that are not covered under Pillar 1 risks and to regularly assess the overall capital adequacy considering the risks and the Group's planned business strategies. The non-Pillar 1 risks covered under the ICAAP process include concentration risk, liquidity risk, interest rate risk in the banking book, reputational risk and strategic risk. The ICAAP also keeps in perspective the Group's strategic plans, credit and investment growth expectations, future sources and uses of funds, dividend policy and the impact of all these on maintaining adequate capital levels. In addition, the ICAAP process also includes stress testing on the Group's capital adequacy to determine the capital requirement and planning to ensure that the Group is adequately capitalized in line with the overall risk profile.

The Group has complied with regulatory capital requirements throughout the period. The Group's consolidated capital adequacy ratio of 55.67% is well above the regulatory requirement and provides a healthy cushion against any stress conditions.

### **Supervisory Review and Evaluation Process:**

The supervisory review and evaluation process represent the CBB's review of the Bank's capital management and an assessment of internal controls and corporate governance. The supervisory review and evaluation process are designed to ensure that Banks identify their material risks and allocate adequate capital, and employ sufficient management processes to support such risks. The supervisory review and evaluation process also encourages institutions to develop and apply enhanced risk management techniques for the measurement and monitoring of risks in addition to the credit, market and operational risks addressed in the core Pillar 1 framework. Other risk types which are not covered by the minimum capital requirements in Pillar 1 include: -

- Liquidity risk
- Concentration risk
- Interest rate risk in the banking book (IRRBB)
- Reputational risk
- Strategic risk

These are covered either by capital, or risk management and mitigation processes under Pillar 2.



## 2.3 Pillar 3

In the CBB's Basel 3 framework, the third pillar prescribes how, when, and at what level information should be disclosed about an institution's risk management and capital adequacy practices. The disclosures comprise detailed qualitative and quantitative information. The purpose of the Pillar 3 disclosure requirements is to complement the first two pillars and the associated supervisory review process. The disclosures are designed to enable stakeholders and market participants to assess an institution's risk appetite and risk exposures and to encourage all Banks, via market pressures, to move towards more advanced forms of risk management. Under the current regulations, partial disclosures consisting mainly of quantitative analysis is required during half year reporting, whereas full disclosure is required to coincide with the financial year-end reporting. In this report, the Group disclosures are beyond the minimum regulatory requirements and provide disclosure of the risks to which it is exposed, both on-and off-balance sheet. The disclosures in this report are in addition to the disclosures set out in the consolidated financial statements presented in accordance with IFRS and accordingly these disclosures should be read in conjunction with the Group's reviewed consolidated financial statements for the period ended 30 June 2023.

### 3. Organizational structure, Risk and Capital Management

#### 3.1 Organization structure

The Group operates under a wholesale banking license issued by Central Bank of Bahrain, to provide Treasury, Loan and Trade finance banking solutions. The Group's customer base includes primarily Corporate, Banks, Financial Institutions, Public Sector Companies, Governments and Semi-government Entities, in the GCC, MENA & European markets as well as other countries across the world.

The Group's largest single shareholder is Libyan Foreign Bank (99.50%); other shareholders comprise of National Bank of Yemen (0.28%) and Yemen Bank for Reconstruction and Development (0.22%). Libyan Foreign Bank (LFB) is 100% owned by the Central Bank of Libya.

The Group's consolidated financial statements are prepared and published in accordance with IFRS. Regulatory capital is reported to the CBB on a regular basis (at least on quarterly basis by way of submitting the Prudential Information Return report) in accordance with CBB guidelines.

## 3.2 Risk and Capital Management

The Group maintains a prudent and disciplined approach to risk-taking by upholding a comprehensive set of risk management policies, processes and limits, employing professionally qualified people with the appropriate skills, investing in technology and training, and actively promoting a culture of sound risk management at all levels. A key tenet of this culture is the clear segregation of duties and reporting lines between personnel transacting business and personnel processing that business. The Group's risk management is underpinned by its ability to identify, measure, aggregate and manage the different types of risks it faces.

The overall authority for risk management in the Group is vested in the Board of Directors. The Board defines the risk appetite and risk tolerance standards and oversees that adequate risk management standards are in place. The Board also approves appropriate risk policies that form part of its risk management framework, based on the recommendation of management. The Board is supported by the Audit, Risk and Compliance Committee ("ARCC") which oversees the risk management, compliance and internal audit activities as well as ensuring integrity of the consolidated financial statements.



### 3.2 Risk and Capital Management (continued)

At the second level, executive management is responsible for the identification and evaluation on a continuous basis of all significant risks to the business and implementation of appropriate internal controls to minimize them. Senior management is responsible for monitoring credit lending portfolio, country limits, interbank limits, and general credit policy matters, which are reviewed and approved by the Board of Directors. The Group has established various management committees that review and assess all risk issues. Approval authorities are delegated to different functionaries in the hierarchy depending on the amount, type of risk and nature of operations or risk and the same is codified in the Delegations of Authority (DOA) document approved by the Board.

The risk management department of the Group provides the necessary support to senior management and the business units in all areas of risk management. The risk management function under the Chief Risk Officer (the "CRO") is independent of the business units of the Group, reporting to the ARCC and administratively to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO). The Financial Control Department is responsible for the capital planning process.

Independent internal audit of the risk management process is conducted and its findings are presented to the ARCC.

Following is the governance structure for Risk and Capital Management in the Group:

Board of Directors					
	Board Audit, Risk and Compliance Committee				
	Chief Executive Officer				
Assets and	Management Risk	Credit Investment	Special Asset		
Liabilities Committee Committee Management					
Committee (ALCO)	(MRC)	(CIC)	Committee (SAMC)		

The risk, liquidity and capital management responsibilities are set out in the table below:

Chief Executive Officer					
Head of Financial Control	Chief Risk Officer *				
Capital management framework	Risk management framework and policies				
Regulatory Reporting	Credit Management				
	Credit risk				
	Market risk				
	Operational risk				
	Liquidity risk and Other risks				
	ICAAP, ILAAP and Stress testing				

<sup>\*</sup> CRO is an independent function which reports to the ARCC, and administratively to the CEO.

The Group's capital management policies aim to ensure that the Group complies with regulatory capital requirements as well as to ensure adequate availability of capital to meet the Group's strategic growth requirements and maximize shareholder value.



### 3.3 Risk Types

The major risks associated with the Group's business activities are credit, market and operational risks. Additionally, other material risks that the Group is exposed to include – liquidity risk, concentration risk, interest rate risk in banking book, reputational risk and strategic risk. These risks are continuously monitored and mitigated through effective process of ongoing identification, measurement, controlling and monitoring throughout the year. The following section provides the way these risks are managed and controlled.

### 3.4 Risks in Pillar I

Basel 3 Pillar 1, which forms the basis for the calculation of the regulatory capital requirement, addresses three specific risk types: credit risk, market risk and operational risk:

### a) Credit Risk

The credit risk is the main financial risk relative to the other risks for the Group because of its nature of business to finance and invest. Credit risk represents the potential financial loss as a consequence of a customer's inability to honour the terms and conditions of a credit facility. Such risk is measured with respect to counterparties for both on-balance sheet assets and off-balance sheet items. The Group measures and manages Credit Risk by adhering to the following principles:

- Consistent standards are applied across all customers in the risk-evaluation process using a rating system. The Group has in place a systematic credit rating system which provides a framework for objective risk assessment;
- The exposure should be reasonable in relation to the customer's creditworthiness, capital position or net worth components, and the customer should be able to substantiate its repayment ability;
- Every extension of credit or material change to a credit facility (such as its tenor, collateral structure or major covenants) to any counterparty requires credit approval at the appropriate authority level:
- The Group regularly follows up on developments in the customer's financial position in order to assess whether the basis for the granting of credit has changed; and
- The Group assumes risks within the limits guided under its risk management framework and other rules prescribed by the CBB from time to time.

The Group has in place a credit risk management framework comprising of detailed credit risk management policies and procedures, regular credit assessments and monitoring, internal rating grades, credit administration activities, collateral management and early warning indicator monitoring. Regular reviews are carried out for each exposure and risks identified are mitigated in a number of ways, which include obtaining collaterals or guarantees. The counterparty credit risks are continuously monitored for changes in external environments and other economic challenges that may impact the counterparty's credit profile as part of early warning indicator monitoring. Similarly, prudent norms have been implemented to govern the Group's investment activities, which specify to the Group's Treasury and Investment department, the acceptable levels of exposure to various products, based on its nature, tenor, rating, type, features and other relevant factors.

The business units of the Group are responsible for business generation and initial credit review of proposals in accordance with the stipulated policy requirements. The Group has an independent credit management unit which is responsible to perform a rigorous independent credit analysis for the counterparty and assign an internal credit rating reflecting the level of credit risk.



### 3.4 Risks in Pillar I (continued)

### a) Credit Risk (continued)

In addition, the independent credit administration unit ensures that adherence to the terms and conditions of all credit facilities is strictly implemented and collateral coverage is monitored. The Group has an internal grading system and review process to ensure identification of any deterioration in credit risk and consequent implementation of corrective action. The Group's internal ratings are based on a 20-point scale (AAA to Loss), which considers the financial strength of a borrower as well as qualitative aspects to arrive at a comprehensive snapshot of the risk of default associated with the borrower. The internal rating model is reviewed and validated periodically by an independent external consultant to ensure robustness of the model in terms of stability and discriminatory power of the ratings. Risk ratings assigned to each borrower are reviewed on at least an annual basis. Regular monitoring of the portfolio enables the Group to identify accounts, which witness deterioration in risk profile.

### b) Market Risk

Market risk is the potential impact of adverse price movements such as benchmark interest rates, foreign exchange prices, equity prices and commodity prices on the Bank's earnings and capital. The exposure to market risk occurs throughout the contract which may negatively affect the earnings and value of an asset. The categories of market risk to which the Group is exposed are as follows:

**Interest rate risk** results from exposure to changes in the level, slope, curvature and volatility of interest rates and credit spreads.

**Foreign exchange risk** results from exposure to changes in the price and volatility of currency spot and forward rates. The principal foreign exchange risk arises from the Group's foreign exchange positions in the banking book including its proprietary positions as well as positions arising from client servicing.

**Equity risk** arises from exposures to changes in the price and volatility of individual equities or equity indices. The Group does not maintain any equity exposures as at the reporting date and therefore is not exposed to equity price risks.

**Commodity risk** arises from exposures to changes and volatility of commodity prices. The Group does not maintain any exposures to commodities as at the reporting date and therefore is not exposed to commodity price risks.

The Group does not have material exposure to market risk on account of its limited trading activities. The Group's market risk management framework comprises of various concentration limits to diversify its market risk exposures as well as stop loss limits to minimize losses. The main market risk exposures arise from its forex risk exposures, wherein the Group maintains net open position limits for each active currency which are monitored on a daily basis.

### c) Operational Risk

Operational risk is defined as the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes or systems, or from external events. Operational risk is inherent in all business activities and can never be eliminated entirely, however shareholder value can be preserved and enhanced by managing, mitigating and, in some cases, insuring against operational risk.



### 3.4 Risks in Pillar I (continued)

### c) Operational Risk (continued)

The Operational Risk Management Framework (the "ORMF") is codified in the Group's operational risk management policy and broadly comprises of the following:

- Well defined governance framework and delegation of authorities;
- Detailed policies and procedures for all activities of the Group;
- Segregation of duties and internal controls;
- Risk and Controls Self-assessments;
- Identifying and monitoring of Key Risk Indicators; and
- Incident reporting and collection of losses from operational incidents, including near misses.

Qualitative and quantitative methodologies are used to identify and assess operational risk and to provide management with information for determining appropriate mitigating factors. These include a database of operational risk incidents; monitoring of key risk indicators, which can provide an early warning of possible risk; and a Risk and Control self-assessment (the "RCSA") process to analyse business activities and identify operational risks related to those activities. The management of operational risk has a key objective of minimising the impact of losses suffered in the normal course of business (expected losses) and to avoid or reduce the likelihood of suffering a large extreme (unexpected) loss.

The RCSA is performed on a periodic basis, by obtaining senior management inputs to enhance the control environment of the Group. The Group's risk management department coordinates with the heads of departments and the respective risk champions in each department, to conduct the RCSA assessments. As part of such assessments, the key operational risks within each department's activities are evaluated along with the controls available to mitigate or minimize such risks. Based on these assessments, each department maintains a risk register for its risks, which is reviewed and updated on an ongoing basis. Further, the Group identifies and maintains a list of Key Risk Indicators (KRIs) which are monitored on a monthly basis and reported to management and Board.

Heads of departments and functions throughout the Group are responsible for maintaining an acceptable level of internal control commensurate with the scale and nature of operations, and for identifying and assessing risks, designing controls and monitoring the effectiveness of these controls. The ORMF helps managers to fulfil these responsibilities by defining a standard risk assessment methodology and providing a tool for the systematic reporting of operational loss data. Operational incidents are monitored on an ongoing basis through the Group's operational risk management system, and the same are reported to management on a monthly basis and to the Board on a quarterly basis. Moreover, the operational incident reports are reviewed jointly by risk management and the respective department for root cause analysis and to introduce additional controls to minimize chances of similar incident recurring.

Operational functions of booking, recording and monitoring of transactions are performed by staff that are independent of the individuals initiating the transactions. Each business line, as well as support line is further responsible for employing the aforementioned framework processes and control programs to manage its operational risk within the guidelines established by the Group policy, and to develop internal procedures that comply with these policies. Operational risk is also managed through effective staff training and frequent review and enhancement of internal controls of the various activities of the Group. Further, the Group has in place the Business Continuity and Disaster Recovery Policy (BCP/DRP) to ensure that the Group is prepared and has contingency plans in place in the event of a disaster so that business is minimally impacted in such situations. The Group also maintains a well-established framework and policy for managing Cyber Security risks.



#### 3.5 Risk in Pillar II

### a) Liquidity Risk

Liquidity risk is defined as the risk to the Group's earnings and capital arising from its inability to meet timely obligations as and when they come due without incurring unacceptable losses. The Group follows a conservative liquidity risk management strategy aligned with its business model. The strategy aims to address:

- Funding liquidity risk and
- Market liquidity risk

The Group utilizes the liquidity management tools in line with Basel 3 and CBB guidelines on liquidity risk management. The Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR) addresses the sufficiency of a stock of high-quality liquid assets to meet short-term liquidity needs under specified scenarios. Under LCR, the objective is to ensure that Group maintains an adequate level of unencumbered, high quality assets that can be converted into cash to meet its liquidity needs, under specific prescribed cash inflows and outflows scenarios, for a 30-day time horizon.

The Net Stable Funding Ratio (NSFR) addresses longer-term structural liquidity mismatches. Under NSFR, the objective is to promote more medium and long-term funding of assets through the establishment of a minimum acceptable amount of stable funding over a one-year horizon. The Group maintains its liquidity standards with stable long-term and short-term liquidity ratios (NSFR, LCR, Liquidity Ratio etc.) above the regulatory limits.

The Group has in place a liquidity risk management framework comprising of liquidity and funding strategy, liquidity risk limits, procedures for monitoring and reporting liquidity risks, liquidity stress testing and contingency planning. The liquidity risk management framework is codified in the Group's Liquidity Risk Management Policy approved by the Board. Further, the Group performs an Internal Liquidity Adequacy Assessment Process (ILAAP) on an annual basis. The ILAAP report documents the overall liquidity assessment as well as the framework in place to monitor liquidity risks and the same is presented to the Board.

The Group performs periodic stress testing of its liquidity risk profile to assess its impact on capital and liquidity position.

#### b) Credit concentration risk

Credit Concentration Risk is the risk that the Group's exposures are concentrated to a sector/industry, geography, product, single party and customer groups, or countries which impact the Group's capital position. It is the risk of exposure to a single counterparty and group of related counterparties, as well as the exposure to selected economic sectors that has the potential to produce losses large enough (relative to the Group's size) to undermine the health of the Group. The existence of exposure concentration can lead to underestimation of Pillar I risks. The Group monitors counterparty, sector and geographic concentration risks and manages them through limits on the same. Regular reports are prepared and analysed to ensure that undesired concentrations are avoided.

Concentration risk is captured in the Group's Pillar 2 capital framework which considers single-name concentrations, Geographical and Industry concentrations in the credit portfolio and capital requirements to cover concentration risks are assessed.



#### 3.5 Risk in Pillar II (continued)

### c) Interest rate risk in the Banking book

Interest rate risk is the exposure of a Group's financial condition to adverse movements in interest rates. Changes in Interest rates affect a Group's earnings by changing its net Interest income and the level of other Interest-sensitive income and operating expenses. Changes in Interest rates also affect the underlying value of the Group's assets, liabilities, and off-balance-sheet (OBS) instruments because the present value of future cash flows changes when interest rates change.

The Group monitors the re-pricing gap and the market value of assets and liabilities as part of interest rate risk management and also assesses the impact of a shift in market interest rates on the expected net interest income of the Group as well as the impact on the Group's economic value of Equity.

The Group assesses the earnings at risk due to a shift of 200 bps in benchmark interest rates for allocating capital to cover its interest rate risk in the banking book.

### d) Reputational Risk

Reputational risk is the risk of losses resulting from adverse perceptions about the Group, its brand and relationship by its various stakeholders that is caused by a variety of internal and external factors. The Group has developed a reputational risk management framework that ensures reputational risk is managed and mitigated and the same is codified in the reputational risk management policy of the Group.

### e) Strategic Risk

Strategic risks refer to the risk that the Group would be exposed in the event of business strategy and plan not materializing. It is the risk to earnings and profitability arising from strategic decisions, changes in the business conditions and improper implementation of decisions. Thus, a strategic risk arises due to adopting wrong strategies and choices that can cause loss to the Group in the form of a reduction in shareholder value and loss of earnings.

The strategic risk is managed through monthly reviews of performance versus budgeted performance and periodic reviews of the Group's performance and alignment with the strategic plan.

## 4. Regulatory capital requirements and the capital base

#### 4.1 Capital base

The Group's Capital base comprise of Tier I capital, which includes share capital, statutory reserve, retained earnings and fair value changes for investments fair valued through other comprehensive income ("FVOCI") and Tier II capital, which includes provision for expected credit loss ("ECL") for stage 1 and 2 exposures.

The Group's issued and paid up capital amounted to US\$ 250 million as at 30 June 2023, comprising of 5 million equity shares of US\$ 50 each.



### 4.1 Capital base (continued)

The regulatory capital base is set out in the table below: -

Break down of Capital Base	US\$ '000s	US\$ '000s
Dreak down of Capital base	CETI	Tier II
Share Capital	250,000	-
Statutory reserve	31,075	-
Retained earnings	63,378	-
Cumulative fair value changes on FVOCI Investments (Debt)	(12,665)	-
All other reserves (proposed divided and ECL)	974	
Total CET I capital prior to regulatory adjustments	332,762	-
Less: intangibles other than mortgage rights	(1,608)	-
Total CET I capital after regulatory adjustment	331,154	-
Add: Expected credit loss, Stage 1 and 2 in Tier 2	-	4,521
Total	331,154	4,521
Total available capital		335,675

Common equity tier 1 (CET 1) comprises of share capital, statutory reserve, retained earnings, and unrealized gains or losses arising on the measurement to fair value of investment securities adjusted with regulatory adjustment for intangible assets and the provision for expected credit losses in line with CBB circular No. OG/106/2020 dated 17<sup>th</sup> March 2020. The Group does not maintain any additional-Tier 1 (AT1) capital.

Tier II capital includes provision for expected credit loss on stage 1 and 2 exposures.

### 4.2 Regulatory capital requirements

For regulatory reporting purposes, the Group calculates the capital requirements as follows:

- Credit Risk Credit risk capital requirements are based on the standardized approach. Under the standardized approach, on and off-balance sheet credit exposures are assigned to exposure categories based on the type of counterparty or underlying exposure. The exposure categories are referred to in the CBB's Basel 3 capital adequacy framework as standard portfolios. The primary standard portfolios are claims on sovereigns, claims on banks and claims on corporates. Following the assignment of exposures to the relevant standard portfolios, the RWAs are derived based on prescribed risk-weightings. Under the standardized approach, the risk weightings are provided by the CBB and are determined based on the counterparty's external credit rating. The external credit ratings are derived from eligible external rating agencies approved by the CBB. The Group uses ratings assigned by Standard & Poor's, Moody's and Fitch.
- <u>Market Risk</u> The Group uses a Standardized approach to calculate the regulatory capital requirements relating to market risk.



## 4.2 Regulatory capital requirements (continued)

Operational Risk - The capital requirement for operational risk is calculated in accordance with the basic indicator approach. Under this approach, the Group's average gross income over the preceding three financial years is multiplied by alpha coefficient of 15% as prescribed in the CBB's Basel 3 capital adequacy framework.

## Capital adequacy ratio calculation:

The Group's consolidated capital adequacy ratio of 55.67% is well above the minimum regulatory requirement of 12.50%.

	US\$ '000
Credit risk weighted assets	547,855
Market risk weighted assets	150
Operational risk weighted assets	54,971
Total Risk weighted assets (RWA)	602,976
Total Eligible Capital Base	335,675
CET I ratio	54.92%
Capital adequacy ratio	55.67%

#### 5. Credit Risk-Pillar 3 disclosures

This section describes the Group's exposure to credit risk and provides detailed disclosures on credit risk in accordance with the CBB's Basel 3 framework in relation to Pillar 3 disclosure requirements.

#### 5.1 Definition of exposure classes per Standard Portfolio

The Group has a diversified on and off-balance sheet credit portfolio, the exposures of which are divided into the counterparty exposure classes defined by the CBB's Basel 3 capital adequacy framework for the standardized approach for credit risk. A high-level description of the counterparty exposure classes and the risk weights used to derive the risk weighted assets are as follows:

#### (a) Claims on sovereigns

These pertain to exposures to governments and their respective central banks. Claims on Bahrain and GCC governments are risk weighted at 0%. Foreign currency claims on other sovereign exposures are risk-weighted based on their external credit ratings or if unrated at 100%.

#### (b) Claims on PSE

Public sector entities (PSEs) are risk-weighted according to their external ratings with the exception of Bahrain PSEs, and domestic currency claims on other PSEs which are assigned a 0 percent risk weight by their respective country regulator.



### 5.1 Definition of exposure classes per Standard Portfolio (continued)

### (c) Claims on banks

Claims on Banks are risk weighted based on the ratings assigned to them by external rating agencies. However, short term claims on locally incorporated banks maturing within three months and denominated in Bahraini Dinars or US Dollars are risk weighted at 20%. Other claims on banks, which are in foreign currency, are risk weighted using standard risk weights ranging from 20% to 150%. Unrated claims on banks are assigned a risk weight of 50%.

### (d) Claims on corporate portfolio

Claims on corporate portfolio are risk weighted based on external credit ratings and are assigned a risk weight of 100% for unrated corporate portfolio.

### (e) Equity portfolios

Investments in listed equities are risk weighted at 100%, and investments in unlisted equities are risk weighted at 150%.

## (f) Any exposure exceeding 15% of Total capital

Claims on Banks or Corporate and other sovereigns or equity exposure that exceed 15% of total capital are risk weighted at 800%.

### (g) Other exposures

These include investment property risk weighted at 200% and other assets risk weighted at 100%.

### (h) Past due exposure

Past due exposures include loans and advances of which interest or repayment of principal are due for more than 90 days; past due exposures, net of specific provisions is risk weighted as follows:

- (a) 150% risk weight, when specific provisions are less than 20% of the outstanding amount.
- (b) 100% risk weight, when specific provisions are greater than 20% of the outstanding amount of the loan.

#### 5.2 Credit exposure and risk weighted assets

US\$ '000	Funded exposures	Unfunded exposures	Gross credit exposures*	Eligible collateral	Risk weighted assets	Capital charge
Claims on Sovereigns	642,239	-	642,239	-	133,236	16,655
Claims on Banks	392,253	13,064	405,317	1,403	239,983	29,998
Claims on Corporate	95,352	74	95,426	-	140,712	17,589
Other exposures	19,853	-	19,853	-	31,561	3,945
Past Dues	2,363	-	2,363	-	2,363	295
Total	1,152,060	13,138	1,165,198	1,403	547,855	68,482

<sup>\*</sup>Balances are gross of provision for stage 1 and 2 expected credit losses.



## 5.2 (a). Gross credit exposure before credit risk mitigation

US\$ '000	Funded credit exposure	Average monthly gross exposure*
Claims on Sovereigns	642,239	595,598
Claims on Banks	392,253	411,932
Claims on Corporate	95,352	94,488
Other exposures	19,853	20,935
Past dues	2,363	1,991
Total funded exposure	1,152,060	1,124,944
Unfunded exposures	13,138	13,631
Gross credit exposures	1,165,198	1,138,575

<sup>\*</sup>Average monthly balance represents the average of the sum of six-month end balance for the period ended 30 June 2023.

## 5.3 Exposure by external credit rating

The Group uses external credit ratings from Standard & Poor's, Moody's and Fitch, which are accredited External Credit Assessment Institutions (ECAI). The Group assigns risk weights through the mapping process provided by CBB to the rating grades.

The breakdown of the Group's exposure into rated and unrated categories is as follows:

US\$ '000	Funded exposure	Unfunded exposure	Rated High standard grade exposure	Rated Standard grade exposure	Unrated exposure	Eligible collateral	Risk weighted assets	Capital charge
Claims on Sovereigns	642,239	-	11,037	631,202	-	-	133,236	16,655
Claims on Banks	392,253	13,064	97,358	231,657	76,302	1,403	239,983	29,998
Claims on Corporate	95,352	74	-	35,887	59,539	-	140,712	17,589
Other exposures	19,853	-	-	8	19,845	-	31,561	3,945
Past Due	2,363	-	-	-	2,363	-	2363	295
Total	1,152,060	13,138	108,395	898,754	158,049	1,403	547,855	68,482



## 5.4 Geographical distribution of exposures

Geographical distribution of exposures based on residence of the counterparties is summarized below:

US\$'000	Gross credit exposure	Funded exposure	Unfunded exposure
Bahrain	593,227	593,227	-
Europe	227,234	227,160	74
Other GCC Countries	157,373	147,297	10,076
Other Middle east & Africa	137,504	134,603	2,901
Rest of the world	49,860	49,773	87
Total	1,165,198	1,152,060	13,138

The geographical distribution of gross credit exposures by major type of credit exposures can be analysed as follows:

US\$ '000	Bahrain	Europe	Other GCC Countries	Other Middle East and Africa	Rest of the world	Total
Claims on Sovereigns	463,446	34,276	54,182	85,654	4,681	642,239
Claims on Banks	121,707	105,937	84,665	37,215	42,729	392,253
Claims on Corporate	-	86,910	8,442	-	-	95,352
Other exposures	8,074	37	8	11,734	-	19,853
Past Due	-	-	-	-	2,363	2,363
Total funded exposure	593,227	227,160	147,297	134,603	49,773	1,152,060
Unfunded exposures	-	74	10,076	2,901	87	13,138
Gross credit exposures	593,227	227,234	157,373	137,504	49,860	1,165,198

# 5.5 <u>Industry sector analysis of exposures</u>

US\$ '000	Gross credit exposure	Funded exposure	Unfunded exposure
Sovereign	644,602	644,602	-
Banks	405,317	392,253	13,064
Commercial & other business	115,279	115,205	74
Total	1,165,198	1,152,060	13,138



# 5.5 Industry sector analysis of exposures (continued)

The industry sector analysis of gross credit exposures by major types of credit exposures can be analysed as follows:

USD '000s	Sovereign	Banks	Commercial & other businesses	Total
Claims on Sovereigns	642,239	-	-	642,239
Claims on Banks	-	392,253	-	392,253
Claims on Corporate	-	-	95,352	95,352
Other exposures	-	-	19,853	19,853
Past Due Exposures	2,363	-	-	2,363
Total funded exposure	644,602	392,253	115,205	1,152,060
Unfunded exposures	-	13,064	74	13,138
Gross credit exposures	644,602	405,317	115,279	1,165,198

## 5.6 Maturity analysis of funded exposures

Residual contractual maturities of the Group's funded exposures are as follows:

US\$ '000	Within 1 month	1-3 months	3-12 months	Total within 1 year	1-10 years	Total
Claims on Sovereign	68,402	208,930	127,382	404,714	237,525	642,239
Claims on Banks	317,100	6,840	58,455	382,395	9,858	392,253
Claims on Corporate	-	710	20,859	21,569	73,783	95,352
Other exposures	26	12	27	65	19,788	19,853
Past Due Exposures	-	-	-	-	2,363	2,363
Total	385,528	216,492	206,723	808,743	343,317	1,152,060

## 5.7 Maturity analysis of unfunded exposures

US\$ '000	Within 1 month	1-3 months	3-12 months	Total within 1 year	1-10 Years	Total
Claims on Banks	528	1,076	1,399	3,003	10,061	13,064
Claims on Corporates	-	-	74	74	-	74
Total	528	1,076	1,473	3,077	10,061	13,138



### 5.8 Off- Balance sheet exposures

#### i. Credit related contingent items

Credit related contingent items comprise letters of credit confirmations, acceptance and guarantees. For credit-related contingent items, the nominal value is converted to an exposure through the application of a credit conversion factor (CCF). The CCF factors range from 20 percent to 100 percent depending on the type of contingent item, and is intended to convert off balance sheet notional amounts into equivalent on balance sheet exposures.

Credit commitments and unutilized approved credit facilities represent commitments that have not been drawn down or utilized. The notional amount provides the calculation base to which a CCF is applied for calculating the EAD. The CCF ranges between 0 percent and 100 percent depending on the approach, product type and whether the unutilized amounts are unconditionally cancellable or irrevocable.

The notional principal amounts reported above are stated gross before applying credit risk mitigants, such as cash collateral, guarantees and counter-indemnities.

At 30 June 2023, the Group held credit-related contingent items & commitment amounting to US\$ 35.5 million.

## ii. Derivatives and Foreign exchange instruments:

Derivatives include futures, forwards, swaps and options in the interest rate and foreign exchange. The Group's derivative and foreign exchange activities are predominantly short-term in nature.

Derivatives and foreign exchanges exposures are exposed to market risk and settled on net basis. Due to currency movements or interest rate changes, the contract may result into net asset or liability.

#### 5.9 Collateral

The amount and type of collateral depends on an assignment of the credit risk, credit rating and market conditions of the counterparty. The types of collateral mainly include cash collaterals, residential and commercial real estate and securities for both funded and unfunded credit exposures, which is liquidated on maturity/expiry date. For capital adequacy ratio calculation purposes mainly cash collateral is considered as risk mitigant.

#### 5.10 Impairment of assets

The Group had adopted IFRS 9 methodology of recording impairment of assets, effective 1 January 2018. IFRS 9 adoption fundamentally changed to a forward looking and expected credit loss (ECL) approach. The Group records an allowance for expected losses for all loans and other debt type financial assets not held at FVTPL, together with loan commitments and financial guarantee contracts. The allowance is based on the ECL associated with the probability of default in the next twelve months unless there has been a significant increase in credit risk since origination, in which case, the allowance is based on the probability of default over the life of the asset.



## 5.10 Impairment of assets (continued)

## i. Impaired loans and related provisions (stage 3):

USD'000s	1 to 3 year	over 3 years	Total
Gross impaired loans (stage 3)	-	41,324	41,324
Less: Specific Provision (stage 3)	-	(41,324)	(41,324)
Net outstanding 30 June 2022	-	-	

## ii. Movement in impairment provision including expected credit losses - Loans and advances

USD'000s	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3	Total
Loans:				
At beginning of the year	2,343	-	41,324	43,667
Transfer to stage 2	(524)	524	-	-
Provided during the period	755	6	-	761
Reversals and write backs	(198)	(211)	-	(409)
Balance as at 30 June 2022	2,376	319	41,324	44,019

## iii. Movement in expected credit losses - Investments

USD'000s	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3	Total
Investments				
At beginning of the year	856	1,868	3,302	6,026
Provided during the period	32	208	-	240
Reversals during the period	(66)	(254)	-	(320)
Balance as at 30 June 2022	822	1,822	3,302	5,946

## iv. Movement in expected credit losses - Other Financial Assets and Off-Balance Sheet Items:

USD'000s	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3	Total
At beginning of the year	174	1	3,497	3,672
Reversals during the period	(18)	-	-	(18)
Exchange difference	-	-	92	92
Balance as at 30 June 2022	156	1	3,589	3,746

## v. Specific provision for impaired assets by geography and sector (Stage 3):

USD '000s	Other Middle East and Africa
Sovereigns	3,302
Banks	33,535
Corporate	11,286
Total	48,123



#### 5.11 Restructured facilities

During the period ended 30 June 2023, no facilities were restructured.

#### 6. Market risk - Pillar 3 disclosures

For allocating capital to market risks, the Group uses the Standardized Measurement Method (SMM) for the measurement of market risk and capital allocation based on net open position of foreign currencies as defined under the CA module of CBB Rulebook:

<u>US\$ '000</u>	Risk weighted exposures	Capital charge	Maximum value	Minimum value
Foreign Exchange Risk	150	19	150	113

Currency risk arises from the movement of the rate of exchange over a period of time. The Group's currency risk is mainly towards assets and liabilities denominated in GBP and Euro, as Bahrain Dinars and GCC Currencies (except Kuwaiti Dinars) are pegged to US Dollars. The Group manages this risk through net open position limits established for each currency and monitoring net open currency positions on a daily basis.

### 7. Operational risk- Pillar 3 disclosures

Whilst operational risk cannot be eliminated in its entirety, the Group endeavours to minimize it by ensuring that a strong control infrastructure is in place throughout the organization. The various procedures and processes used to manage operational risk include effective staff training, appropriate controls to safeguard assets and records, regular reconciliation of accounts and transactions, close monitoring of risk limits, segregation of duties, and financial management and reporting. In addition, other control strategies, including business continuity planning and insurance, are in place to complement the procedures, as applicable.

The Group has in place an ORMF to manage and control its operational risk in a cost-effective manner within targeted levels of operational risk consistent with the Group's risk appetite. The ORMF defines minimum standards and processes, and the governance structure for the management of operational risk and internal controls.

The Group adopted the Basic indicator approach in line with CBB regulation to compute total capital charge in respect of operational risk which amounted to US\$ 6,871 thousand on operational risk weighted exposure of US\$ 54,971 thousand. This operational risk weighted exposure is computed using the Basic indicator approach, where a fixed percentage (Alpha), which is 15% of the average previous of three years' annual gross income, is multiplied by 12.50 operational capital charge; years with positive gross income are counted for computation of capital charge.

## 8. Pillar 2 Risk Disclosures

#### a. Credit concentration risk

Concentration risk is the credit risk stemming from not having a well-diversified credit portfolio, i.e. the risk inherent in doing business with large customers or being overexposed in particular industries or geographic regions. The Group has calculated the exposure concentration risk under Bank Pillar 2 capital framework using Herfindahl–Hirschman Index (HHI).



#### a. Credit concentration risk (continued)

Under the CBB's single obligor regulations, banks incorporated in Bahrain are required to obtain the CBB's approval for any exposure to a single counterparty or group of connected counterparties exceeding 15% of the regulatory capital base.

As at 30 June 2023, the Group's exposure in excess of 15% of the obligor limits to individual counterparties is shown below:

<u>US\$ '000</u>	On Balance sheet exposure	Off-Balance sheet exposure	Total
Counterparty A *	463,446	-	463,446

<sup>\*</sup> Comprises of exempted large exposure to sovereign.

The Group has already put in place credit risk management policies as well as and monitoring tools to proactively assess Exposure Concentration risk. The Group has internal limits to monitor and control concentration in sectors, geography and counterparty. Regular reports are prepared and analysed to ensure that undesired concentrations are avoided.

#### b. Liquidity Risk

The Group maintains adequate liquid assets such as inter-bank placements, treasury bills and other readily marketable securities, to support its business and operations. The Group monitors the maturity profile of its assets and liabilities so that adequate liquidity is maintained at all times. The Group monitors the stability of its funding base on an ongoing basis by ensuring maintaining strong relationship with its key depositors. The Asset Liability Committee (ALCO) reviews the liquidity gap profile and the liquidity stress testing results and addresses strategic issues concerning liquidity risk.

As of June 30, 2023, the Group's NSFR stood at 129% and LCR is 852%. Refer Liquidity risk disclosures made under note 11 of Interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statement for the period ended 30 June 2023. In accordance with Liquidity Risk Management module of CBB, the Group computes the Net Stable Funding ratio (NSFR) and Liquidity Coverage ratio (LCR) and maintain these ratios greater than 100% respectively.

## c. Interest rate risk in Banking Book

The Group is exposed to interest rate risk because of mismatches or gaps in the amounts of assets and liabilities and off-balance sheet instruments that mature or re-price in a given period. The Group manages this risk by matching the re-pricing of assets and liabilities and monitoring the interest rate repricing gap by buckets. The Group measures its interest rate sensitivity by measuring the earnings at risk and change in economic value of equity due to a 200-bps parallel shock in interest rates. The ALCO regularly reviews the interest rate gap and sensitivity profile and takes decisions to ensure stability of interest income stream over time.

The following table demonstrates the sensitivity to 200 basis points increase in interest rates, with all other variables held constant, of the Group's Interim Consolidated Statement of Income for the period ended 30 June 2023:



# c. Interest rate risk in Banking Book (continued)

US\$ '000	30 June 2022
impact	Sensitivity of net Interest
impact	income
+/-	2,529

The details of interest rate sensitive assets and liabilities are as follows:

Interest Rate Risk Gap Report								
Balance Sheet Items (Figures in USD 000s)	Less than 1 month	1 to 3 months	3 to 6 months	6 months to 1 year	Over one year	Total Non- Interest rate sensitive	Total Assets and Liabilities	
ASSETS								
Balances and deposits with banks and financial institutions	349,053	196,584	42,669	51,760	-	5,590	645,656	
Investment securities	-	9,000	3,900	27,545	231,860	1,213	273,518	
Loans and advances	26,074	6,642	9,422	66,681	92,920	(6,305)	195,434	
Investment property	-	-	-	-	-	11,734	11,734	
Interest receivable	4,753	4,253	2,331	2,390	-	130	13,857	
Other assets, property, equipment and software	-	-	-	-	-	8,092	8,092	
TOTAL (A)	379,880	216,479	58,322	148,376	324,780	20,454	1,148,291	
LIABILITIES								
Deposits from bank and other financial institutions	165,176	217,000	181,819	-	-	125,543	689,538	
Due to banks and other financial institutions	36,574	-	-	-	-	50,666	87,240	
Due to customers	-	-	-	-	-	22,299	22,299	
Interest payable	614	2,738	1,408	-	-	70	4,830	
Other liabilities	-	-	-	-	-	10,970	10,970	
TOTAL (B)	202,364	219,738	183,227	-	-	209,548	814,877	
Interest Rate Gap (A-B)	177,516	(3,259)	(124,905)	148,376	324,780	(189,094)	333,414	
Cumulative Gap	177,516	174,257	49,352	197,728	522,508	333,414		



### d. Reputational Risk

Group relies upon a reputation for integrity in order to maintain its existing business and to pursue its strategies for growth and new business. The Group has no risk appetite for reputational risk and a number of initiatives are dedicated to the avoidance of reputational damage, including controls relating to maintaining regulatory compliance, anti-money laundering controls and data security.

Group has prepared a scorecard to evaluate Reputational risk score based on guidance provided by CBB and Group's internal as well as external operating environment. The score derived from the scorecard is used to assess the capital requirements for reputational risk.

#### e. Strategic risk

Business / strategic risk primarily arises out of either wrong strategic direction and or wrong strategy/ business plan implementation that could have an adverse impact on the Group's profitability and capital positions. The Group has various monitoring mechanism including Key Performance Indicator, Performance Reports etc. It monitors on a periodic basis to assess any deviation from the approved business plans that could impact the Group's performance in terms of its profitability, asset growth, financial health etc. The strategic risk is managed through monthly reviews of performance versus budgeted performance and periodic reviews of the Group's performance and alignment with the strategic plan.

The Group quantifies the strategic and business risk based on earning volatility approach, comparing the volatility in budgeted vs actual gross income and gross cost of the Group, over a period of last 6 years. The earnings volatility is adjusted based on application of sustainability and contribution factors. The final adjusted volatility (value at risk) at a confidence level is annualized to estimate the pillar 2 strategic risk capital

#### 9. Other disclosures:

- a. Related Party transactions: Related parties represent shareholders, directors and key management personnel of the Group, and entities controlled, jointly controlled or significantly influenced by such parties. Pricing policies and terms of these transactions are approved by the Group's management. The balances and transactions with the related parties are disclosed in note 9 of the Interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for the period ended 30 June 2023.
- **b.** Assets sold under recourse agreements: The Group did not enter into any recourse agreement during the period ended 30 June 2023.
- c. Equity positions in the banking book: Nil

## d. Leverage Ratio

US\$ '000	30 June 2023
Total exposure on-balance sheet (all unweighted)	1,148,291
Total off-balance sheet items - with relevant Credit Conversion Factors	11,138
Total	1,159,429
Tier One Capital	331,154
Leverage Ratio	28.56%